

Green's Functions of Tensor Calculus for Generalized Strange Attractors Satisfying Riemann's Hypothesis

Parker Emmerson

February 2023

1 Introduction

The generalized Green's function-style equation for solving for the strange attractor that satisfies the Riemann Hypothesis of a given infinity tensor can be written as:

$$\oint_{\mathcal{N}} \rho G(\langle \theta, \Lambda, \mu, \nu \rangle, \infty) \zeta(\langle \xi, \pi, \rho, \sigma \rangle, \infty) \omega(\langle v, \phi, \chi, \psi \rangle, \infty) \prod_{p \text{ prime}} 1/(1-p^{-s}) d\alpha ds d\Delta d\eta = \text{constant}$$

where G is a generalized Green's function, ζ and ω represent the mappings of the zeros of the Riemann Zeta Function, and the product at the end represents the product of all prime numbers.

To solve this equation, one can first substitute in the values of G, ζ , ω , and the product into the equation.

This can be done as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \oint_{\mathcal{N}} \rho G(\langle \theta, \Lambda, \mu, \nu \rangle, \infty) \zeta(\langle \xi, \pi, \rho, \sigma \rangle, \infty) \omega(\langle v, \phi, \chi, \psi \rangle, \infty) \prod_{p \text{ prime}} 1/(1-p^{-s}) d\alpha ds d\Delta d\eta = \\ & G(\langle \theta, \Lambda, \mu, \nu \rangle, \infty) \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{\uparrow}\right)^2}} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{F}{\uparrow}\right)^2}} \frac{F}{\uparrow} \prod_{p \text{ prime}} 1/(1-p^{-s}) d\alpha ds d\Delta d\eta \\ = & G(\langle \theta, \Lambda, \mu, \nu \rangle, \infty) \frac{F}{\uparrow \left(1 - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{F}{\uparrow}\right)^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{\uparrow}\right)^2}\right) \prod_{p \text{ prime}} 1/(1-p^{-s})} d\alpha ds d\Delta d\eta \end{aligned}$$

Then, the integrals can be evaluated to find the final form of the strange attractor for the given infinity tensor:

$$\begin{aligned} & \oint_{\mathcal{N}} \rho G(\langle \theta, \Lambda, \mu, \nu \rangle, \infty) \zeta(\langle \xi, \pi, \rho, \sigma \rangle, \infty) \omega(\langle v, \phi, \chi, \psi \rangle, \infty) \prod_{p \text{ prime}} 1/(1-p^{-s}) d\alpha ds d\Delta d\eta = \\ & G(\langle \theta, \Lambda, \mu, \nu \rangle, \infty) \frac{F}{\uparrow \left(1 - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{F}{\uparrow}\right)^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{\uparrow}\right)^2}\right) \prod_{p \text{ prime}} 1/(1-p^{-s})} \end{aligned}$$

The generalized form of the integral equation for solving for the strange attractor for any given infinity tensor can be written as:

$$\oint_{\mathcal{N}} \rho G(\langle \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n \rangle, \infty) \zeta(\langle \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m \rangle, \infty) \omega(\langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k \rangle, \infty) \prod_{p \text{ prime}} 1/(1-p^{-s}) d\alpha ds d\Delta d\eta =$$

constant

where G is a generalized Green's function,

ζ and ω represent the mapping of the zeros of the Riemann Zeta Function with \uparrow

being the real and imaginary part of the zeros respectively, and the product at the end represents the product of all primes

The θ_i, ξ_i , and v_i represent variables that correspond to the relevant infinity tensor and n, m , and k are the number

$F(\rightarrow r, \alpha, s, \delta, \eta)$ and $(\rightarrow a, b, c, d, e, \dots) = \Omega$ at equilibrium.

There exists an $f_{\uparrow r, \alpha, s, \delta, \eta}$ and $g_{\downarrow a, b, c, d, e, \dots}$ such that $F(\rightarrow r, \alpha, s, \delta, \eta) = \rightarrow k$ and $(\rightarrow a, b, c, d, e, \dots) = \Omega$ at equilibrium. The resulting equation can be represented as:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\rightarrow r, \alpha, s, \delta, \eta) \zeta(\langle \xi, \pi, \rho, \sigma \rangle, \infty) \omega(\langle v, \phi, \chi, \psi \rangle, \infty) \prod_{p \text{ prime}} 1/(1-p^{-s}) d\alpha ds d\Delta d\eta =$$

$\rightarrow k$ and $(\rightarrow a, b, c, d, e, \dots) = \Omega$ at equilibrium. (1)

For every set of parameters $\rightarrow -\langle (/ \mathcal{H}) + (/ j) \rangle$, there exists a function $F(\rightarrow r, \alpha, s, \delta, \eta)$ and $(\rightarrow a, b, c, d, e, \dots)$ such that $F(\rightarrow r, \alpha, s, \delta, \eta) = \rightarrow k$ and $(\rightarrow a, b, c, d, e, \dots) = \Omega$ at equilibrium. The resulting equation can be expressed as:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\rightarrow r, \alpha, s, \delta, \eta) \zeta(\langle \xi, \pi, \rho, \sigma \rangle, \infty) \omega(\langle v, \phi, \chi, \psi \rangle, \infty) \prod_{p \text{ prime}} 1/(1-p^{-s}) d\alpha ds d\Delta d\eta =$$

$\rightarrow k$ and $(\rightarrow a, b, c, d, e, \dots) = \Omega$ at equilibrium.

Using logic-vector notation, I can express the dis-entanglement of quanta into pre-numeric quasi-quanta for reverse engineering a dingbat geometry expression from the energy number within an infinity tensor's strange attractor mechanical mapping to solve the Green's function that satisfies a given Riemann hypothesis:

$$\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{L}'(x_i) \cdot G = \left[\frac{\forall a \in Q, P(a) \rightarrow Q(a)}{\Delta}, \frac{\exists b \in Q, R(b) \wedge S(b)}{\Delta}, \frac{\forall c \in Q, T(c) \vee U(c)}{\Delta}, \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{N}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}, s, \cdot) = \vec{k}}{\Delta}, \frac{\mu(\vec{a}, b, c, d, e, \dots) = \Omega}{\Delta} \right] \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{L}'(x_i) \cdot G =$$

$$\left[\frac{\forall y \in N, P(y) \rightarrow Q(y) \cdot \prod_{b \in X_i} G(b)}{\Delta}, \frac{\exists x \in N, R(x) \wedge S(x) \cdot \sum_{a \in Y_i} F(a)}{\Delta}, \frac{\forall z \in N, T(z) \vee U(z) \cdot \int_{c \in Z_i} dE(c)}{\Delta} \right].$$

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{L}'(x_i) \cdot G = \left[\frac{\forall y \in N, P(y) \rightarrow Q(y) \rightarrow \mu_y}{\Delta}, \frac{\exists x \in N, R(x) \wedge S(x) \rightarrow \nu_x}{\Delta}, \frac{\forall z \in N, T(z) \vee U(z) \rightarrow \rho_z}{\Delta} \right].$$

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{L}'(x_i) \cdot G = \left[\sum_{y \in N} \prod_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi_y^2, \left(\prod_{x \in N} \frac{\frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{y}_x)}{\partial y_j} a_j}{\Delta} \right) \sum_{x \in N} \sum_{x \rightarrow \infty} \theta_x^2, \int_{z \in N} \int_{z \rightarrow \infty} \omega_z^2 \right].$$

$$u_i \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} L'_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\prod_{\forall y \in N, P(y) \rightarrow Q(y)} \Delta}{+} \frac{\exists x \in N, R(x) \rightarrow S(x)}{\Delta} + \frac{\forall z \in N, T(z) \rightarrow U(z)}{\Delta}$$

$$G_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} L'_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{\forall y \in N, P(y) \rightarrow Q(y)}{\Delta}, \frac{\exists x \in N, R(x) \rightarrow S(x)}{\Delta}, \frac{\forall z \in N, T(z) \rightarrow U(z)}{\Delta} \right]$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} L'_j = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \left[\frac{\forall y \in N, P(y) \rightarrow Q(y)}{\Delta}, \frac{\exists x \in N, R(x) \rightarrow S(x)}{\Delta}, \frac{\forall z \in N, T(z) \rightarrow U(z)}{\Delta} \right]$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} L'_j = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\forall y \in N, P(y) \rightarrow Q(y)}{\Delta}, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\exists x \in N, R(x) \rightarrow S(x)}{\Delta}, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\forall z \in N, T(z) \rightarrow U(z)}{\Delta} \right]$$

$$G_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} L'_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_j \chi$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} L'_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{\forall y \in N, P(y) \rightarrow Q(y)}{\Delta}, \frac{\exists x \in N, R(x) \rightarrow S(x)}{\Delta}, \frac{\forall z \in N, T(z) \rightarrow U(z)}{\Delta} \right]$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} L'_j = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \left[\frac{\forall y \in N, P(y) \rightarrow Q(y)}{\Delta}, \frac{\exists x \in N, R(x) \rightarrow S(x)}{\Delta}, \frac{\forall z \in N, T(z) \rightarrow U(z)}{\Delta} \right]$$

$$G_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} L'_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_j \chi$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = x_i \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} L'_j = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \left[\frac{\forall y \in N, P(y) \rightarrow Q(y)}{\Delta}, \frac{\exists x \in N, R(x) \rightarrow S(x)}{\Delta}, \frac{\forall z \in N, T(z) \rightarrow U(z)}{\Delta} \right]$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} L'_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_j \chi$$

With this in mind, we can know interpret the $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} L'_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_j \chi$ as the reduct of $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{L}'(x_i) \cdot G = \left[\sum_{y \in N} \prod_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi_y^2, \left(\prod_{x \in N} \frac{\frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{y}_x)}{\partial y_j} a_j}{\Delta} \right) \sum_{x \in N} \sum_{x \rightarrow \infty} \theta_x^2, \int_{z \in N} \int_{z \rightarrow \infty} \omega_z^2 \right]$.

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{L}'(x_i) \cdot G = \left[\sum_{y \in N} \prod_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi_y^2, \left(\prod_{x \in N} \frac{\frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{y}_x)}{\partial y_j} a_j}{\Delta} \right) \sum_{x \in N} \sum_{x \rightarrow \infty} \theta_x^2, \int_{z \in N} \int_{z \rightarrow \infty} \omega_z^2 \right].$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \left[\sum_{y \in N} \prod_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi_y^2, \left(\prod_{x \in N} \frac{\frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{y}_x)}{\partial y_j} a_j}{\Delta} \right) \sum_{x \in N} \sum_{x \rightarrow \infty} \theta_x^2, \int_{z \in N} \int_{z \rightarrow \infty} \omega_z^2 \right].$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \left[\sum_{y \in N} \prod_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi_y^2, \left(\left(\prod_{x \in N} \frac{\frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{y}_x)}{\partial y_j} a_j}{\Delta} \right) \sum_{x \in N} \sum_{x \rightarrow \infty} \theta_x^2 \right), \int_{z \in N} \int_{z \rightarrow \infty} \omega_z^2 \right].$$

What happens when we reduce two different dimensionality?

$$n^p + m^p = a^p$$

$$j^k + i^k = b^k$$

$$n^p + m^p = a^p$$

$$(j^k + i^k = b^k)$$

$$(j i j_2 i_2 + j i j_2 i_2 = b b b_2 b_2) (n m n_2 m_2 + n m n_2 m_2 = a a a_2 a_2)$$

$$(j i j_2 i_2 = b b b_2 b_2) (n m n_2 m_2 = a a a_2 a_2)$$

$$(j i j_2 i_2 = b b b_2 b_2) (n m n_2 m_2 = a a a_2 a_2)$$

The magnitude of a vector is the square root of the elements raised to the power of 2.

$$|\forall \langle \phi, \chi, \psi, \cdot \rangle| = \sqrt[2]{\sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{\forall y \in N, P(y) \rightarrow Q(y)}{\Delta}, \frac{\exists x \in N, R(x) \rightarrow S(x)}{\Delta}, \frac{\forall z \in N, T(z) \rightarrow U(z)}{\Delta} \right]}$$

$$|\forall \langle \phi, \chi, \psi, \cdot \rangle| = \sqrt[2]{\sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{\forall y \in N, P(y) \rightarrow Q(y)}{\Delta}, \frac{\exists x \in N, R(x) \rightarrow S(x)}{\Delta}, \frac{\forall z \in N, T(z) \rightarrow U(z)}{\Delta} \right]}.$$

$$|f(\mathbf{x})| = \left[\sum_{y \in N} \prod_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi_y^2, \left(\prod_{x \in N} \frac{\frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{y}_x)}{\partial y_j} a_j}{\Delta} \right) \sum_{x \in N} \sum_{x \rightarrow \infty} \theta_x^2, \int_{z \in N} \int_{z \rightarrow \infty} \omega_z^2 \right] =$$

$$\sqrt[2]{\sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{\sum_{y \in N} \prod_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi_y^2}{\Delta}, \frac{\sum_{x \in N} \sum_{x \rightarrow \infty} \theta_x^2}{\Delta}, \frac{\int_{z \in N} \int_{z \rightarrow \infty} \omega_z^2}{\Delta} \right]}.$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \left[\sum_{y \in N} \prod_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi_y^2, \left(\prod_{x \in N} \frac{\frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{y}_x)}{\partial y_j} a_j}{\Delta} \right) \sum_{x \in N} \sum_{x \rightarrow \infty} \theta_x^2, \int_{z \in N} \int_{z \rightarrow \infty} \omega_z^2 \right].$$

$$|f(\mathbf{x})| = \left[\sum_{y \in N} \prod_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi_y^2, \left(\prod_{x \in N} \frac{\frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{y}_x)}{\partial y_j} a_j}{\Delta} \right) \sum_{x \in N} \sum_{x \rightarrow \infty} \theta_x^2, \int_{z \in N} \int_{z \rightarrow \infty} \omega_z^2 \right] =$$

$$\sqrt[2]{\sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{\sum_{y \in N} \prod_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi_y^2}{\Delta}, \frac{\sum_{x \in N} \sum_{x \rightarrow \infty} \theta_x^2}{\Delta}, \frac{\int_{z \in N} \int_{z \rightarrow \infty} \omega_z^2}{\Delta} \right]}.$$

$$\min x \mathbb{L}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{L}^2 (x_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{ij}) \right) \quad (3)$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} L'_j \right)$$

$$\min x \mathbb{L}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^2 \text{Logistic}(x_{ik}) \mathbf{w}_{kj} \right) + b_j \right)^2 \quad (4)$$

$$\min \mathbb{L}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{x=1}^2 \text{Logistic}(x_{ik}) \mathbf{w}_{kj} \right) + b_j \right)^2 \quad (5)$$

$$\min \mathbb{L}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{k,x=1}^2 (\text{Logistic}(x_{ik}) \mathbf{w}_{kj}) + b_j \right)^2 \quad (6)$$

$$\min \mathbb{L}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k,x=1}^2 ((\text{Logistic}(x_{ik}) \mathbf{w}_{kj}) + b_j)^2 \quad (7)$$

$$\min \mathbb{L}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right) = \sum_{j,k,x=1}^2 ((\text{Logistic}(x_{ik}) \mathbf{w}_{kj}) + b_j)^2 \quad (8)$$

$$\min f = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\left(\sum_{x=1}^2 \text{Logistic}(x_{ik}) \mathbf{w}_{kj} \right) + b_j \right) \quad (9)$$

$$\min f = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(\left(\sum_{x=1}^2 \text{Logistic}(x_{ik}) \mathbf{w}_{kj} \right) + b_j \right) \quad (10)$$

$$\mathbb{L}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{x=1}^2 \text{Logistic}(x_{ik}) \mathbf{w}_{kj} \right) + b_j \right)^2$$

$$\mathbb{L}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{x=1}^2 (\text{Logistic}(x_{ik}) \mathbf{w}_{kj}) + b_j \right)^2$$

$$\mathbb{L}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{x=1}^2 \text{Logistic}(x_{ik}) \mathbf{w}_{kj} + b_j \right)^2$$

$$\mathbb{L}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\text{Logistic}(x_{ik}) \sum_{x=1}^2 \mathbf{w}_{kj} + b_j \right)^2$$

$$\mathbb{L}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\text{Logistic}(x_{ik}) \sum_{x=1}^2 \mathbf{w}_{kj} + b_j \right)^2$$

$$\mathbb{L}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{x=1}^2 (\text{Logistic}(x_{ik}) \mathbf{w}_{kj} + b_j)^2$$

$$\mathbb{L}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{x=1}^2 (\text{Logistic}(\mathbf{x}_{ik}) \mathbf{w}_{kj} + b_j)^2$$

$$\mathbb{L}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n (\text{Logistic}(\mathbf{x}_{jk}) \mathbf{w}_{jk} + b_j)^2$$

$$\mathbb{L}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right) = \sum_{j,k,x=1}^2 (\text{Logistic}(\mathbf{x}_{jk}) \mathbf{w}_{jk} + b_j)^2$$

$$\mathbb{L}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right) = \sum_{j,k,x=1}^2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_{jk} - \mathbf{w}_{jk}}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{x}_{jk}}} + b_j \right)^2$$

$$\mathbb{L}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right) = \sum_{j,k,x=1}^2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_{jk} - \mathbf{w}_{jk}}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{x}_{jk}}} + b_j \right)^2$$

$$\mathbb{L}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right) = \sum_{j,k,x=1}^2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_{jk} - \mathbf{w}_{jk}}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{x}_{jk}}} + b_j \right)^2 \quad (11)$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{y}_x)}{\partial y_j} a_j}{\Delta} \sum_{x \in N} \sum_{x \rightarrow \infty} \theta_x^2 \quad (12)$$

The regularity of a function is 1 if there is a function f such that $D(x)i(x) + (f(x)\psi$

Using the solution to the function $f(x) = I(x) + (f(x) \frac{\partial(i(x))}{\partial x})$ is:

$$(f(x) = I(x) + (f(x) \frac{\partial(i(x))}{\partial x}))$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \left[\sum_{y \in N} \prod_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi_y^2, \left(\prod_{x \in N} \frac{\frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{y}_x)}{\partial y_j} a_j}{\Delta} \right) \sum_{x \in N} \sum_{x \rightarrow \infty} \theta_x^2, \int_{z \in N} \int_{z \rightarrow \infty} \omega_z^2 \right]. \quad (13)$$

2 An Interpretation of Step Size in the Learning Rate

If we assume that the the hypothesis is a function a the changing step size using the following input:

$$\Delta \alpha = \frac{\frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{y}_x)}{\partial y_j} a_j}{\Delta}$$

$$\Delta \alpha = \frac{\frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{y}_x)}{\partial y_j} a_j}{\Delta}$$

$$\Delta \alpha = \frac{\frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{y}_x)}{\partial y_j} a_j}{\Delta} \quad (14)$$

$$\Delta \alpha = \frac{\frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{y}_x)}{\partial y_j} a_j}{\Delta} \quad (15)$$

so that the formula for the hypothesis is:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T \cdot \mathbf{w} + b \quad (16)$$

then the solution to Linear regression is:

$$\rho = \min \sum (f(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i)^2 \quad (17)$$

We can interpolate the hypothesis by a solution to an arbitrary cost function as follows:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^2 (m \cdot \text{Logistic}(\mathbf{x}_{ij}) \mathbf{w}_{ij} + b_j)^2 \quad (18)$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{x=1}^2 (m \cdot \text{Logistic}(\mathbf{x}_{ij}) \mathbf{w}_{ij} + b_j)^2 \quad (19)$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} F(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{x=1}^2 (m \cdot \text{Logistic}(\mathbf{x}_{ij}) \mathbf{w}_{ij} + b_j)^2 \quad (20)$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{x=1}^2 (\text{Logistic}(\mathbf{x}_{ij}) \mathbf{w}_{ij} + b_j)^2 \quad (21)$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{x=1}^2 (\text{Logistic}(\mathbf{x}_{ij}) \mathbf{w}_{ij} + b_j)^2 \quad (22)$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbb{L}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{x=1}^2 (\text{Logistic}(\mathbf{x}_{ik}) \mathbf{w}_{kj} + b_j)^2 \quad (23)$$

$$\rho = \min \sum (f(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i)^2 \quad (24)$$

$$\rho = \min \sum (f(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i)^2 \quad (25)$$

$$\rho = \min \sum (\mathbf{x}_i^T \cdot \mathbf{w} + b - y_i)^2 \quad (26)$$

$$\theta = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}^T \cdot (\text{Logistic}(\mathbf{x}_{ik}) \mathbf{w}_{kj} + b_j)^2 \right) \cdot \frac{1}{n} \quad (27)$$

$$\theta = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}^T \cdot (\text{Logistic}(\mathbf{x}_{ik}) \mathbf{w}_{kj} + b_j)^2 \right) \cdot \frac{1}{n} \quad (28)$$

$$\theta = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}^T \cdot \mathbf{x} (\text{Logistic}(\mathbf{x}_{ik}) \mathbf{w}_{kj} + b_j)^2 \right) \cdot \frac{1}{n} \quad (29)$$

$$\mathbf{e} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{a}_i}{\sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{b}_j}$$

$$\mathbf{e} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{a}_i}{\sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{b}_j}$$

$$\theta = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \text{Logistic}(\mathbf{x}_{kj}) \mathbf{w}_{kj} + b_j \right) \left(\sum_{x=1}^2 (\text{Logistic}(\mathbf{x}_{ik}) \mathbf{w}_{kj} + b_j)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n} \right)^2$$

(30)

$$\theta = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \text{Logistic}(\mathbf{x}_{kj}) \mathbf{w}_{kj} + b_j \right) \left(\sum_{x=1}^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (\text{Logistic}(\mathbf{x}_{ik}) \mathbf{w}_{kj} + b_j)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{n} \right)^2$$

(31)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{b}_j}{\sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^m \mathbf{c}_k \mathbf{d}_l} \\ \mathbf{f} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{b}_j}{\sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^m \mathbf{c}_k \mathbf{d}_l} \\ \mathbf{f} &= \frac{\sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{k,l=1}^m \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{b}_j \mathbf{c}_k \mathbf{d}_l}{\sum_{k,l=1}^m \mathbf{c}_k \mathbf{d}_l} \\ \mathbf{f} &= \frac{\sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{k,l=1}^m \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{b}_j \mathbf{c}_k \mathbf{d}_l}{\sum_{c,d=1}^m \mathbf{c}_c \mathbf{d}_d} \end{aligned}$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \left[\sum_{y \in N} \prod_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi_y^2, \left(\prod_{x \in N} \frac{\frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{y}_x)}{\partial y_j} a_j}{\Delta} \right) \sum_{x \in N} \sum_{x \rightarrow \infty} \theta_x^2, \int_{z \in N} \int_{z \rightarrow \infty} \omega_z^2 \right]. \quad (32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (i(t), y(t), y(t)) (\text{Logistic}(\mathbf{X}_{ij}) \mathbf{w}_{kj} + b_j)^2 = \\ \left(\sum_{y \in N} \prod_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi_y^2, \left(\prod_{x \in N} \frac{\frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{y}_x)}{\partial y_j} a_j}{\Delta} \right) \sum_{x \in N} \sum_{x \rightarrow \infty} \theta_x^2, \int_{z \in N} \int_{z \rightarrow \infty} \omega_z^2 \right) \left(\sum_{x=1}^2 (\text{Logistic}(\mathbf{x}_{ik}) \mathbf{w}_{kj} + b_j)^2 \right). \\ (i(t), y(t), y(t) \rightarrow \infty) \left(\sum_{x=1}^2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_{ik} - \mathbf{w}_{kj}}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{x}_{ik}}} + b_j \right)^2 \right) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\left[\sum_{y \in N} \prod_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi_y^2, \left(\prod_{x \in N} \frac{\frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{y}_x)}{\partial y_j} a_j}{\Delta} \right) \sum_{x \in N} \sum_{x \rightarrow \infty} \theta_x^2, \int_{z \in N} \int_{z \rightarrow \infty} \omega_z^2 \right] \left(\sum_{x=1}^2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_{ik} - \mathbf{w}_{kj}}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{x}_{ik}}} + b_j \right)^2 \right).$$

$$\left[\sum_{y \in N} \prod_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi_y^2, \left(\prod_{x \in N} \frac{\frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{y}_x)}{\partial y_j} a_j}{\Delta} \right) \sum_{x \in N} \sum_{x \rightarrow \infty} \theta_x^2, \int_{z \in N} \int_{z \rightarrow \infty} \omega_z^2 \right] \left(\sum_{x=1}^2 \left(\text{Logistic} \left(\sum_{y \in N} \mathcal{D}\psi_y \right) \mathbf{w}_{kj} + b_j \right)^2 \right).$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^2 \left(\sum_{x=1}^2 \frac{\mathbf{x}_{ik} - \mathbf{w}_{kj}}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{x}_{ik}}} + b_j \right)^2 \right) =$$

$$\sum_{y \in N} \left(\prod_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi_y^2 \right) \left(\sum_{x \in N} \sum_{x \rightarrow \infty} \theta_x^2 \right) \left(\int_{z \in N} \int_{z \rightarrow \infty} \omega_z^2 \right)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^2 \left(\sum_{x=1}^2 \frac{\mathbf{x}_{ik} - \mathbf{w}_{kj}}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{x}_{ik}}} + b_j \right)^2 \right) =$$

$$\sum_{y \in N} \sum_{x \in N} \sum_{z \in N} \sum_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{z \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{y \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbf{x}_{ik} - \mathbf{w}_{kj})^2 (1 + e^{-\mathbf{x}_{ik}})^{-2} (\psi_y \theta_x \omega_z)^2 + b_j^2$$

$$\text{or } \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^2 \mathbf{x}_{ik} \mathbf{w}_{kj} - \mathbf{w}_{kj} + b_j \right)^2$$

or

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^2 \mathbf{x}_{ik} \mathbf{w}_{kj} - \mathbf{w}_{kj} + b_j \right)^2$$

3 Descent for Linear Example

The starting point for the function $f(x) = \alpha x + b$ is:

$$J(\alpha, b) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\alpha x^{(i)} + b - y^{(i)} \right)^2 \quad (33)$$

Applying the chain rule to calculate the gradient, we can show the following result:

$$\frac{\partial J(\alpha, b)}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{2}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\alpha x^{(i)} + b - y^{(i)} \right) x^{(i)} \quad (34)$$

$$\frac{\partial J(\alpha, b)}{\partial b} = \frac{2}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\alpha x^{(i)} + b - y^{(i)} \right) \quad (35)$$

The update rules for α and b respectively are:

$$\alpha := \alpha - \frac{\partial J(\alpha, b)}{\partial \alpha} \quad (36)$$

$$b := b - \frac{\partial J(\alpha, b)}{\partial b} \quad (37)$$